

EXHIBIT J

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

--○○○--

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF SETH RAVIN

JULY 21, 2010

VOLUME II

(Pages 276 - 382)

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

REPORTED BY: SARAH LUCIA BRANN, CSR 3887 (#429524)

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY
SETH RAVIN - 7/21/2010

		Page 333	Page 335		
11:17:03	1	January, February of 2006?	11:19:21	1	Oracle had already acquired it.
11:17:05	2	A. I don't remember specifically, but I	11:19:23	2	A. I believe technically the acquisition
11:17:07	3	believe it was early 2006.	11:19:27	3	wasn't completed at the time that we had launched
11:17:10	4	Q. And Siebel was the first product line you	11:19:30	4	Rimini Street in September of 2005.
11:17:13	5	supported?	11:19:32	5	Q. Did you consider yourself in -- did you
11:17:13	6	A. Yes.	11:19:35	6	consider Rimini Street in competition with Oracle
11:17:14	7	Q. What was the next product line you	11:19:38	7	when it began servicing Siebel customers in early
11:17:16	8	supported?	11:19:41	8	2006?
11:17:17	9	A. We added in PeopleSoft.	11:19:43	9	A. I believe we were competitors in the
11:17:21	10	Q. When did you begin supporting that?	11:19:46	10	support services products, yes.
11:17:24	11	A. I believe it was sometime in mid-2006.	11:19:48	11	Q. Did you consider Rimini Street to be
11:17:33	12	Q. What about JDEdwards?	11:19:51	12	competing with TomorrowNow at that time?
11:17:36	13	A. I believe sometime shortly thereafter.	11:19:57	13	A. I don't believe TomorrowNow had a Siebel
11:17:39	14	Q. When you say mid-2006, are you saying May,	11:19:58	14	product at the time that we launched our Siebel
11:17:42	15	June, July 2006?	11:20:01	15	product at Rimini Street.
11:17:44	16	A. I believe in that range.	11:20:03	16	Q. Did you consider -- once Rimini Street
11:17:45	17	Q. When did you --	11:20:07	17	began supporting PeopleSoft, did you consider Rimini
11:17:48	18	A. I am sorry. You know, it may have	11:20:10	18	Street to be competing with Oracle?
11:17:49	19	actually been 2007. I don't remember exactly.	11:20:13	19	A. Yes, they were a competitor of ours for
11:17:54	20	Q. For JDEdwards?	11:20:16	20	support services.
11:17:56	21	A. For JDEdwards and PeopleSoft, because we			
11:17:59	22	had to wait until a particular non-compete expired			
11:18:02	23	with SAP.			
11:18:03	24	Q. And that's related to your non-compete			
11:18:05	25	agreement with SAP and TomorrowNow?			
		Page 334	Page 336		
11:18:09	1	A. That is correct.			
11:18:09	2	Q. What was your understanding about the			
11:18:11	3	length of that non-compete?			
11:18:13	4	A. I don't remember exactly what the timeline			
11:18:16	5	was, but it related to some -- I think it was at			
11:18:19	6	least one year after my departure from SAP, which			
11:18:23	7	was March of 2005.			
11:18:26	8	Q. So, assuming it was a one-year			
11:18:30	9	non-compete, could you begin through Rimini Street			
11:18:34	10	supporting PeopleSoft and JDEdwards, at least			
11:18:37	11	promoting the services, at the beginning of March of			
11:18:42	12	'06?			
11:18:43	13	A. It would have been shortly after the			
11:18:45	14	non-compete expired.			
11:18:46	15	Q. When did you -- when did Rimini begin			
11:18:50	16	supporting SAP product?			
11:18:52	17	A. We began supporting -- we launched SAP			
11:18:55	18	initiative in May of 2008, officially launched the			
11:19:01	19	service in May of 2009.			
11:19:04	20	Q. What is your understanding of when Oracle			
11:19:06	21	acquired Siebel?			
11:19:09	22	A. My understanding of when Oracle acquired			
11:19:12	23	Siebel was around September of 2005.			
11:19:16	24	Q. And so you did not -- Rimini Street did			
11:19:19	25	not actually begin supporting Siebel until after			

16 (Pages 333 to 336)

Merrill Corporation - San Francisco

800-869-9132

www.merrillcorp.com/law

1 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

2 I, SARAH LUCIA BRANN, a Certified
3 Shorthand Reporter, hereby certify that the witness
4 in the foregoing deposition was by me duly sworn to
5 tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the
6 truth in the within-entitled cause;

7 That said deposition was taken in
8 shorthand by me, a disinterested person, at the time
9 and place therein stated, and that the testimony of
10 the said witness was thereafter reduced to
11 typewriting, by computer, under my direction and
12 supervision;

13 That before completion of the deposition,
14 review of the transcript [X] was [] was not
15 requested. If requested, any changes made by the
16 deponent (and provided to the reporter) during the
17 period allowed are appended hereto.

18 I further certify that I am not of counsel
19 or attorney for either or any of the parties to the
20 said deposition, nor in any way interested in the
21 event of this cause, and that I am not related to
22 any of the parties thereto.

23 DATED: July 26, 2010

24 

25 SARAH LUCIA BRANN, CSR No. 3887